IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

THOMAS WARREN RAY,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ § CASE NO. 6:24-cv	y-47-JDK-KNM
v.	§ 6	
7-POINTS, TX POLICE	§ §	
DEPARTMENT, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§ §	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Thomas Warren Ray filed this lawsuit on February 6, 2024. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. The Court ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint addressing deficiencies in the original complaint. Docket No. 5. When Plaintiff failed to comply, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Docket No. 7. No written objections have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, no objections were filed. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 7) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prosecute. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of May, 2024.

JEREMYD. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE